

- T5S.3: (a) $N_A = 100$ $N_B = 100$ $U = 200\varepsilon$
- i. $2.8271\text{E}+192/3.0330\text{E}+144=0.9321134\text{E}+48$
 - ii. 70–130 include 0.99983854 of states
 - iii. $3.6469\text{E}+146/3.0330\text{E}+144=1.202407\text{E}+2$
- (b) $N_A = 1000$ $N_B = 1000$ $U = 2000\varepsilon$ (request 2001 rows)
- i. $6.748\text{E}+1949/1.844\text{E}+1459=3.659436\text{E}490$
 - ii. 904–1096 include 0.99981572 of states
 - iii. $2.213\text{E}+1462/1.844\text{E}+1459=1.200109\text{E}3$

much increased relative probability of being in central state; likely spread δU_A increased on an absolute basis, but not on relative basis.

- (c) $N_A = 1000$ $N_B = 1000$ $U = 200\varepsilon$ (request 201 rows)
- i. $7.9768\text{E}+380/2.2201\text{E}+323=3.592991\text{E}57$
 - ii. 73–127 include 0.99988206 of states
 - iii. $4.1639\text{E}+325/2.2201\text{E}+323=1.875546\text{E}2$

modest changes toward more central probability

- (d) $N_A = 100$ $N_B = 100$ $U = 2000\varepsilon$ (request 2001 rows)
- i. $3.5051\text{E}+605/1.9303\text{E}+384=1.815832\text{E}221$
 - ii. 828–1172 include 0.999805788 of states
 - iii. $5.0377\text{E}+386/1.9303\text{E}+384=2.609802\text{E}2$

much increased relative probability of being in central state; likely spread δU_A increased on an absolute basis, but not on relative basis.

Note the online error list <http://www.physics.pomona.edu/sixideas/errfiles/sierrt3.html> suggests doing all these problems with MaxRow 200, but I've followed the instructions as given in the hard copy. Below are the results for the default MaxRows (201)

- (b) $N_A = 1000$ $N_B = 1000$ $U = 2009\varepsilon$ (request 201 rows)
- i. $1.722\text{E}+1956/1.020\text{E}+1485=1.688235\text{E}471$
 - ii. 0.4552–0.5448 include 0.9997597 of states
 - iii. $1.964\text{E}+1504/1.020\text{E}+1485=1.92549\text{E}19$
- (d) $N_A = 100$ $N_B = 100$ $U = 2009\varepsilon$ (request 201 rows)
- i. $3.6635\text{E}+607/1.2200\text{E}+401=3.002869\text{E}206$
 - ii. 0.4154–0.5846 include 0.9998342 of states
 - iii. $8.5681\text{E}+413/1.2200\text{E}+401=7.023033\text{E}12$

- T5S.8: (a) $\Delta S = 0.00000001 \text{ J/K} = k_B \ln(\Omega_1/\Omega_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\Omega_1/\Omega_2) &= 0.00000001/k_B = 7.2429 \times 10^{14} \\ \log_{10}(\Omega_1/\Omega_2) &= 7.2429 \times 10^{14}/\ln(10) = 3.1456 \times 10^{14} \\ \Omega_1/\Omega_2 &= 10^{3.1456 \times 10^{14}} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) I'm willing to bet that I'll never see something with those odds happen.

T5R.1: Call space alien object A (so Ω_A increases if U_A decreases) and normal object B (so Ω_B increases if U_B increases). Both Ω_A and Ω_B will increase (so then $\Omega_{AB} = \Omega_A\Omega_B$ will also increase) if energy flows from A to B . So as long as the hypothesis hold, less energy in A (and hence more in B) will increase total entropy—hence A will reach 'equilibrium' (i.e., steady state) only after it has expelled all of its energy into B . It doesn't matter if B is a flame or an ice bath—both are 'normal' cases where Ω_B will increase if U_B increases. A might be said to have an infinite temperature (since heat will flow from it into any normal body) but in fact the usual definition of temperature will find a negative value (so $\Delta S = Q/T$ is positive for $Q < 0$).